Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 CASE NO. CV PARTIES James Cooke P.O. Box 14902 Silver Spring, MD 20911 Defination(s) 1: CoreLogic SafeRent 7300 Westmore Road, Suite 3 Rockville, MD 20850-5223 c/o Evan Barnett, President Sheriff 2. Serve by: Constable Sheriff Sheriff Serve by: Constable Sheriff Sheri	LOCATED AT (COURT ADDRESS)	MADION	FILE U'
CV Parties Parties Case	8552 Second Avenue		COMPLAINT S5,000 or under Over \$5,000 Dayer \$10 000
The particulars of this case are: On 10/8/09, plaintiff authorized defendant CoreLogic SafeRent aka First Advantage SafeRent in a signed application to ran a single cred & criminal history check on plaintiff or an apartment. On 10/29/09, plaintiff received copies of credit reports & noticed that on 10/14/09, defendant had ran a second unauthorized credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Defendant(s): VS. Defendant(s): VS. Defendant(s): VS. Defendant(s): VS. Defendant(s): VS. Defendant had ran a second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff vith evidence that he authorized credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant	Silver Spring, Maryland 20910		Clerk: Please docket this case in an action of Contract led to the
On 10/8/09, plaintiff authorized defendant CoreLogic SafeRent aka First Advantage SafeRent in a signed application to ran a single cred & criminal history check on plaintiff or an apartment. On 10/29/09, plaintiff cortected copies of credit reports & noticed that on 10/14/09, defendant had ran a second unauthorized credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check refused to delete the hard inquiry. The inquiry is highly injurious to plaintiff credit. Defendant is in wilful noncompliance of the Fair & Accurate Credit Transaction Act, and the Fair Credit Reporting Act. plaintiff demands \$4,500 and deletion of inquiry. Serve by: Contacted Private P	CASE NO.	=	The particulars of this case are: 2010 SEP - 7 P 3:
Plaintiff James Cooke P.O. Box 14902 Silver Spring, MD 20911 Destandant(s): Vs.	CV		
James Cooke P.O. Box 14902 Silver Spring, MD 20911 Defendant(s): Vs.			First Advantage SafeRent in a signed application to ran a single credit
P.O. Box 14902 Silver Spring, MD 20911 Possible	Plaintiff		& criminal history check on plaintiff for an apartment On 10/20/00 5
defendant had ran a second unauthorized credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check refused to delete the hard inquiry. The inquiry is highly injurious to plaintiff credit. Defendant is in wilful noncompliance of the Fair & Accurate Credit Transaction Act, and the Fair Credit Reporting Act. plaintiff demands \$4,500 and deletion of inquiry. Serve by: Constable Sheriff Process Constable Sheriff Attorneys The Plaintiff claims: \$\frac{\text{Seve to y}}{\text{Seve to y}}\$ = \frac{\text{Constable}}{\text{Seve to y}}\$ = \frac{\text{Seve to y}}{\text{Seve to y}}\$ = \frac{\text{Seve to y}}{\tex	P.O. Box 14902		
check on plaintiff. Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check refused to delete the hard inquiry. The inquiry is highly injurious to plaintiff seredit. Defendant is in wilful noncompliance of the Fair & Accurate Credit Transaction Act, and the Fair Credit Report ing Act. plaintiff demands \$4,500 and deletion of inquiry. 3. Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Constable Sheriff Contract Constable Sheriff Constable Sheriff Contract C	Silver Spring, MD 20911		
Plaintiff contacted defendant about second unauthorized inquiry and demanded the deletion of said-inquiry, defendant without providing plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal history check refused to delete the hard inquiry. The inquiry is highly injurious to plaintiff's credit. Defendant is in wilful noncompliance of the Pair & Accurate Credit Transaction Act, and the Pair Credit Reporting Act. Plaintiff demands \$4,500 and deletion of inquiry. 3. Serve by: Contribed Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Private Private Process Constable Sheriff Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Privat			
Corelogic SafeRent Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Process Constable Private Process Pr	7/6	=	
CorteLogic SafeRent 7300 Westmore Road, Suite 3 Rockville, MD 20850-5223 c/o Evan Barnett, President 2. Serve by: Constable Sheriff Process Constable Sheriff Serve by: Cortified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Serve by: Contractual Private Contractua	Defendant(s):	Serve by:	demanded the deletion of will be deletion of w
Rockville, MD 20850-5223 c/o Evan Barnett, President Process Constable Sheriff	7300 Westmore Road Suite 3	Certified	definanced the deletion of said inquiry, defendant without providing
Serve by: Contible Private Process Constable Sheriff Serve by: Constable Private Priva	Rockville, MD 20850-5223	Process	plaintiff with evidence that he authorized second credit and criminal
Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Serve by: Constable Sheriff Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff		☐ Constable ☐ Sheriff	history check refused to delete the hard inquiry. The inquiry is highly
the Fair & Accurate Credit Transaction Act, and the Fair Credit Reporting Process Constable Sheriff			injurious to plaintiff's credit. Defendant is in wilful noncompliance of
Process Sheriff	3.	Mail	the Fair & Accurate Credit Transaction Act, and the Fair Credit Report
Certified Mail Private Process Constable Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Steriff Private Process Constable Process Constable Process Constable Process Constable Process Constable Steriff Private Process Constable Steriff Private Process Constable Steriff Private Process Constable Steriff Process Constable Process Constable Process Constable Process Constable Process Pro		Process Constable	ing Act. plaintiff demands \$4,500 and deletion of inquiry.
Mail Constable Sheriff Sheri			(See Continuation Sheet)
Process Constable Sheriff Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Contractual Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Sheriff Constable Sheriff Constable Process Great Contractual Stationney's fees of \$ Return of the property and damages of \$ for its detention in an action of replevin. Return of the property, or its value, plus damages of \$ The Plaintiff claims: Stationney's fees of \$ Return of the property and damages of \$ for its detention in an action of replevin. Contractual Stationney's fees of \$ Plaintiff Claims: Stationney's fees of \$ The Plaintiff claims: The Plaintiff claims: Stationney's fees of \$ The Plaintiff claims: The Plaintiff claims		Mail	The state of the s
Serve by: Certified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Sheriff Serve by: Certified Attorney's fees of \$ plus interest of \$ and attorney's fees of \$ plus court costs. Return of the property and damages of \$ for its detention in an action of replevin. Return of the property, or its value, plus damages of \$ for its detention in action of detinue. Other: Deletion of inquiry and demands judgment for relief. RECEIVED AUG 0 6		Constable	Contractual %
Certified Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Mail Private Process Constable Sheriff Sheriff Plaintiff - Name, Address, Telephone Number & Code Co		L Sheriff	G + 4 500
Private Process Constable Sheriff ATTORNEYS Plaintiff- Name, Address, Telephone Number & Code ATTORNEYS Plaintiff- Name, Address, Telephone Number & Code Signature of Plaintiff/Attorney/Attorney Code Signature of Plaintiff/Attorney/Attorney Code		☐ Certified	attorney's fees of \$ and
Return of the property, or its value, plus damages of for its detention in action of definue. Other: Deletion of inquiry and demands judgment for relief. RECEIVED AUG 0 6 Signature of Plaintiff Attorney/Attorney Code		☐ Private	LI Return of the property and damages of \$
ATTORNEYS Other: Deletion of inquiry and demands judgment for relief. RECEIVED AUG 0 6 Signature of Plaintiff/Attorney/Attorney Code		Constable	Return of the property, or its value, plus damages of
and demands judgment for relief. RECEIVED AUG 0 6 Signature of Plaintiff Attorney Attorney Code -	ATTORNEYS	=	for its detention in action of data
The state of the s	n rannun - Name, Address, Telephone Number & Code	200	and demands judgment for relief.
The state of the s			Summer 405 0 6 20
	S S		Money/Autoritey Code -
APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF JUDGMENT			Telephone Number: 301-806-1082
	n against the Defendant in a day the	ii suilicient de	etail as to liability and damage to apprise the Defendant clearly of the
n against the Defendant, in Busing the amount of any interest claimed.	ouchers Check Otherwitten decurrent	nent upon whi	ich claim is based
an against the Defendant, inguing the amount of any interest claimed. operly authenticated copy of any note, security agreement upon which claim is based litemized statement of account Interest workshops outliers (Litemized statement of account Interest workshops).	REBY CERTIFY: That langue MPlaintiff		Uverified itemized repair bill or estimate
ouchers (Check to the owitten document	natters stated in this complaint, which are made on my	personal kno	wledge; that there is justly due and owing by the Defendant to testify to
ouchers (Check to the Dwitten document REBY CRETERY: The LIBERT CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T	emnly affirm under the penalties of periury and upon a	nersonal know	ledge that the
ouchers Check Otherwitten document REBY CERTIFY: That Completely which are made on my personal knowledge; that there is justly due and owing by the Defordant to the sum set forth in the Completely.	petent to testify to these matters. Defendant		ledge that the contents of the above Complaint are true and I am
ouchers (Check Other written document	a volpotation in the State of Maryland		
ouchers (Check to the position of the plaintiff of the sum set forth in the Complaint. employed and the position of the plaintiff of the sum set forth in the Complaint. employed and the period of the plaintiff of the period of the plaintiff the sum set forth in the Complaint. employed and the period of the plaintiff of the period of the plaintiff the sum set forth in the Complaint. employed a proposition of the period of the per	Specific facts must be given for the Court	to conclude that each	ch Defendant who is a natural person is not in the all.
emnly affirm under the penalties of perjury and upon personal knowledge that the contents of the above Complaint are true and I am o Defendant is in the military service and the facts supporting this statement are: Name	m unable to determine whether or not any Defendant	io in wallie	A A Manual person is not in the military.
ouchers In Check Converted document	7-28-16	is in military s	cryce.
ouchers [Check Dother written document	CV 1 (front) (Rev. 9/2009)	- /	Signature of Afficial